## Message

From: Nguyen, Thuy [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=FDF4C9A977D4493E948C607108A47C0E-THUY NGUYEN]

**Sent**: 1/30/2021 6:08:19 PM

To: Teter, Royan [Teter.Royan@epa.gov]

Subject: Fwd: Anvil 10 + 10

Attachments: Anvil 10+10 Customer Notification FINAL LH 1-13-21 (002).pdf

You were right! And I am glad you told me to clarify at the meeting.

See below

Thuy

## Begin forwarded message:

From: "Nguyen, Thuy" < Nguyen. Thuy@epa.gov>

Date: January 28, 2021 at 1:30:00 PM EST

To: "Nesci, Kimberly" <Nesci.Kimberly@epa.gov>

Cc: "Anderson, Neil" <Anderson.Neil@epa.gov>, "Goodis, Michael" <Goodis.Michael@epa.gov>,

"Keigwin, Richard" < Keigwin. Richard@epa.gov>

Subject: FW: Anvil 10 + 10

Sharing with you a notification the lab just received from Clarke, since we did order some Anvil 10+10 from them.

I am a little taken back by their statement "Further EPA testing has confirmed there is no PFAS chemistry in Clarke's Anvil 10+10 formulation" (end of 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph, page 1). We did confirm that there was no PFAS (except PFOA background contamination) in their December 20, 2020 production sample, and that was it. Any production/formulation before that, we did not confirm.

Thuy